

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/843,819

Applicant(s)

NAKAYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

Sally A. Sakelaris

Art Unit

1634

All Participants:

(1) Sally A. Sakelaris.

(2) Lee Cheng.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Mercier et al. (Nucleic Acids Research, Vol. 18, No. 19) 1990 Pg. 5908.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and Mr Cheng discussed possible amendments to the claims to put the claims in condition for allowance over the newly found prior art. See examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance section for closest prior art recitations. Mr Cheng also faxed a copy of the final, agreed upon claim to the examiner on 5/12/2005. .